


Hakipu‘u, O‘ahu



WATERSHED FEATURES

Hakipu‘u watershed occurs on the island of O‘ahu. The Hawaiian meaning of the name is “hill broken”. The area of the watershed is 1.2 square mi (3.1 square km), with maximum elevation of 2208 ft (673 m). The watershed’s DAR cluster code is 4, meaning that the watershed is medium size, steep in the upper watershed, and with embayment. The percent of the watershed in the different land use districts is as follows: 37.8% agricultural, 59.8% conservation, 0% rural, and 2.4% urban.

Land Stewardship: Percentage of the land in the watershed managed or controlled by the corresponding agency or entity. Note that this is not necessarily ownership.

<u>Military</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>OHA</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Nature Conservancy</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Private</u>
0.0	2.1	0.5	0.0	55.6	0.0		41.7

Land Management Status: Percentage of the watershed in the categories of biodiversity protection and management created by the Hawaii GAP program.

Permanent Biodiversity <u>Protection</u>	Managed for Multiple <u>Uses</u>	Protected but <u>Unmanaged</u>	<u>Unprotected</u>
0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7

Land Use: Areas of the various categories of land use. These data are based on NOAA C-CAP remote sensing project.

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Square mi</u>	<u>Square km</u>
High Intensity Developed	0.3	0.00	0.01
Low Intensity Developed	2.2	0.03	0.07
Cultivated	0.0	0.00	0.00
Grassland	4.8	0.06	0.15
Scrub/Shrub	50.0	0.60	1.57
Evergreen Forest	40.3	0.49	1.26
Palustrine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Scrub/Shrub	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Emergent	0.6	0.01	0.02
Estuarine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Bare Land	0.0	0.00	0.00
Unconsolidated Shoreline	0.1	0.00	0.00
Water	1.7	0.02	0.05
Unclassified	0.0	0.00	0.00

STREAM FEATURES

Hakipu'u is a perennial stream. Total stream length is 2.4 mi (3.9 km). The terminal stream order is 2.

Reach Type Percentages: The percentage of the stream's channel length in each of the reach type categories.

<u>Estuary</u>	<u>Lower</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u>	<u>Headwaters</u>
0.0	22.4	69.2	8.4	0.0

The following stream(s) occur in the watershed:
Hakipu'u

BIOTIC SAMPLING EFFORT

Biotic samples were gathered in the following year(s):

1990 2002 2003

Distribution of Biotic Sampling: The number of survey locations that were sampled in the various reach types.

<u>Survey type</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	<u>Lower</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u>	<u>Headwaters</u>
Damselfly Surveys	0	1	0	0	0
DAR Point Quadrat	0	10	47	0	0
Published Report	0	5	3	0	0

BIOTA INFORMATION

Species List

Native Species

Crustaceans	<i>Atyoida bisulcata</i> <i>Macrobrachium sp.</i>
Fish	<i>Eleotris sandwicensis</i> <i>Kuhlia xenura</i> <i>Saurida nebulosa</i>
Snails	<i>Ferrissia sharpi</i>
Worms	<i>Oligochaete sp.</i>

Native Species

Insects	<i>Anax junius</i>
----------------	--------------------

Introduced Species

Crustaceans	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>
Fish	<i>Gambusia affinis</i> <i>Misgurnus anguillicaudatus</i> <i>Mugilogobius cavifrons</i> <i>Poecilia reticulata</i> Poeciliid sp. unidentified poeciliid <i>Tilapia sp.</i> <i>Xiphophorus helleri</i> <i>Xiphophorus maculatus</i>
Snails	<i>Melania sp.</i> <i>Melanooides tuberculata</i> <i>Pomacea canaliculata</i> <i>Tarebia granifera</i> Thiarid sp.
Worms	<i>Dugesia sp.</i>

Introduced Species

Insects	<i>Brachydeutera ibari</i> <i>Cheumatopsyche analis</i> <i>Clogmia albipunctata</i> <i>Crocothemis servilia</i> <i>Erioptera bicornifer</i> <i>Hydroptila potosina</i> <i>Ischnura posita</i> <i>Ischnura ramburi</i> <i>Limonia advena</i> <i>Orthemis ferruginea</i> <i>Pantala flavescens</i>
----------------	--

Species Size Data: Species size (inches) observed in DAR Point Quadrat Surveys.

<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Minimum Size</u>	<u>Maximum Size</u>	<u>Average Size</u>
<i>Macrobrachium sp.</i>	Unknown	0.13	2	0.3
<i>Gambusia affinis</i>	Introduced	0.5	0.75	0.5
<i>Misgurnus anguillicaudatus</i>	Introduced	3	3	3.0
<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	Introduced	0.25	1	0.6
Poeciliid sp.	Introduced	0.13	0.5	0.4
<i>Xiphophorus helleri</i>	Introduced	0.5	3	1.0

Average Density: The densities (#/square yard) for species observed in DAR Point Quadrat Surveys averaged over all sample dates in each reach type.

<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Mid</u>	<u>Upper</u>	<u>Headwaters</u>
<i>Melania sp.</i>	Introduced			1.06		
<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	Introduced		5.89	1.85		
Poeciliid sp.	Introduced			0.26		
<i>Xiphophorus helleri</i>	Introduced		26.5	9.51		
<i>Macrobrachium sp.</i>	Unknown			1.06		

Species Distributions: Presence (P) of species in different stream reaches.

<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	<u>Lower</u>	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u>	<u>Headwaters</u>
<i>Atyoida bisulcata</i>	Endemic		P	P		
<i>Eleotris sandwicensis</i>	Endemic		P			
<i>Kuhlia xenura</i>	Endemic		P			
<i>Ferrissia sharpi</i>	Endemic		P	P		
<i>Saurida nebulosa</i>	Indigenous		P			
<i>Anax junius</i>	Indigenous		P			
<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	Introduced			P		
<i>Gambusia affinis</i>	Introduced		P	P		
<i>Misgurnus anguillicaudatus</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Mugilogobius cavifrons</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	Introduced		P	P		
Poeciliid sp.	Introduced			P		
<i>Tilapia sp.</i>	Introduced		P			
unidentified poeciliid	Introduced		P			
<i>Xiphophorus helleri</i>	Introduced		P	P		
<i>Xiphophorus maculatus</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Brachydeutera ibari</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Cheumatopsyche analis</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Clogmia albipunctata</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Crocothemis servilia</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Erioptera bicornifer</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Hydroptila potosina</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Ischnura posita</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Ischnura ramburi</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Limonia advena</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Orthemis ferruginea</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Pantala flavescens</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Melania sp.</i>	Introduced		P	P		
<i>Melanoides tuberculata</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Pomacea canaliculata</i>	Introduced		P			
<i>Tarebia granifera</i>	Introduced			P		
Thiarid sp.	Introduced		P			

<i>Dugesia sp.</i>	Introduced	P	P
<i>Oligochaete sp.</i>	Undetermined	P	P
<i>Macrobrachium sp.</i>	Unknown	P	P

HISTORIC RANKINGS

Historic Rankings: These are rankings of streams from historical studies. "Yes" means the stream was considered worthy of protection by that method. Some methods include non-biotic data in their determination. See Atlas Key for details.

Multi-Attribute Prioritization of Streams - Potential Heritage Streams (1998): No

Hawaii Stream Assessment Rank (1990): Moderate

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service High Quality Stream (1988): No

The Nature Conservancy- Priority Aquatic Sites (1985): No

National Park Service - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (1982): No

Current DAR Decision Rule Status: The following criteria are used by DAR to consider the biotic importance of streams. "Yes" means that watershed has that quality.


Native Insect Diversity <u>> 19 spp.</u>	Native Macrofauna <u>Diversity > 5 spp.</u>	Absence of Priority 1 <u>Introduced</u>
No	No	No
Abundance of Any <u>Native Species</u>	Presence of Candidate <u>Endangered Species</u>	Endangered Newcomb's <u>Snail Habitat</u>
No	No	No

CURRENT WATERSHED AND STREAM RATINGS


The current watershed and stream ratings are based on the data contained in the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database. The ratings provide the score for the individual watershed or stream, the distribution of ratings for that island, and the distribution of ratings statewide. This allows a better understanding of the meaning of a particular ranking and how it compares to other streams. The ratings are standardized to range from 0 to 10 (0 is lowest and 10 is highest rating) for each variable and the totals are also standardized so that the rating is not the average of each component rating. These ratings are subject to change as more data are entered into the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database and can be automatically recalculated as the data improve. In addition to the ratings, we have also provided an estimate of the confidence level of the ratings. This is called rating strength. The higher the rating strength the more likely the data and rankings represent the actual condition of the watershed, stream, and aquatic biota.

WATERSHED RATING: Hakipu‘u, O‘ahu


Land Cover Rating: Rating is based on a scoring system where in general forested lands score positively and developed lands score negatively.



Shallow Waters Rating: Rating is based on a combination of the extent of estuarine and shallow marine areas associated with the watershed and stream.




Stewardship Rating: Rating is based on a scoring system where higher levels of land and biodiversity protection within the watershed score positively.




WATERSHED RATING (Cont): Hakipu‘u, O‘ahu


Size Rating: Rating is based on the watershed area and total stream length. Larger watersheds and streams score more positively.




Wetness Rating: Rating is based on the average annual rainfall within the watershed. Higher rainfall totals score more positively.



Reach Diversity Rating: Rating is based on the types and amounts of different stream reaches available in the watershed. More area in different reach types score more positively.





Total Watershed Rating: Rating is based on combination of Land Cover Rating, Shallow Waters Rating, Stewardship Rating, Size Rating, Wetness Rating, and Reach Diversity Rating.



BIOLOGICAL RATING: Hakipu‘u, O‘ahu



Native Species Rating: Rating is based on the number of native species observed in the watershed.

Stream Rating
3





Introduced Genera Rating: Rating is based on the number of introduced genera observed in the watershed.

Stream Rating
6





All Species' Score Rating: Rating is based on the Hawaii Stream Assessment scoring system where native species score positively and introduced species score negatively.

Stream Rating
1



Total Biological Rating: Rating is the combination of the Native Species Rating, Introduced Genera Rating, and the All Species' Score Rating.


Stream Rating
2



OVERALL RATING: Hakipu‘u, O‘ahu

Overall Rating: Rating is a combination of the Total Watershed Rating and the Total Biological Rating.


Watershed
Rating
4



RATING STRENGTH: Hakipu‘u, O‘ahu

Rating Strength: Represents an estimate of the overall study effort in the stream and is a combination of the number of studies, number of different reaches surveyed, and the number of different survey types.

Information
Rating
5



REFERENCES

- 2002. Englund, R.A. and D.J. Preston. Addendum to Non-insect Aquatic Invertebrate Surveys of Four Windward O‘ahu Stream Systems Impacted by the Waiāhole Ditch. Hawaii Biological Survey.
- 2002. Englund, R.A. and L.S. Godwin. Non-insect Aquatic Invertebrate Surveys of Four Windward O‘ahu Stream Systems Impacted by the Waiahole Ditch. Hawaii Biological Survey.
- 2002. McRae, M.G. and J.M. Fitzsimons. Downstream Migration of Newly Hatched Amphidromous Organisms in Hakipu‘u, Waikane, Waiahole, and Kahana Streams, Island of O‘ahu.

2003. Englund, R.A., Preston, D.J. and K. Arakaki. Kane‘ohe Bay, O‘ahu Stream Estuary Study. Hawaii Biological Survey.
2003. Englund, R.A., Preston, D.J., Arakaki, K. and M.K.K. McShane. Aquatic Insect Surveys of Four Windward O‘ahu Stream Systems Impacted by Waiāhole Ditch. Final Report, Hawai‘i Biological Survey.
2006. Polhemus, D.A. Maps of Damselfly Locations.
2008. Hawai‘i Division of Aquatic Resources. DAR Point Quadrat Survey Data from the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database.