DAR Watershed Code: 62009

'Īao, Maui



WATERSHED FEATURES

'Īao watershed occurs on the island of Maui. The Hawaiian meaning of the name is "cloud supreme". The area of the watershed is 11.9 square mi (30.8 square km), with maximum elevation of 5,787 ft (1,764 m). The watershed's DAR cluster code is 5, meaning that the watershed is medium size, steep in the upper watershed, and with little embayment. The percent of the watershed in the different land use districts is as follows: 12.1% agricultural, 68.1% conservation, 0.6% rural, and 19.3% urban.

Land Stewardship: Percentage of the land in the watershed managed or controlled by the corresponding agency or entity. Note that this is not necessarily ownership.

Military	Federal	<u>State</u>	<u>OHA</u>	<u>County</u>	Nature Conservancy	Other Private
0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.9

Land Management Status: Percentage of the watershed in the categories of biodiversity protection and management created by the Hawaii GAP program.

Permanent Biodiversity	Managed for Multiple	Protected but	
Protection	Uses	<u>Unmanaged</u>	<u>Unprotected</u>
0.1	65.1	0.0	34.8

Land Use: Areas of the various categories of land use. These data are based on NOAA C-CAP remote sensing project.

	Percent	<u>Square mi</u>	<u>Square km</u>
High Intensity Developed	3.9	0.47	1.21
Low Intensity Developed	8.0	0.95	2.47
Cultivated	5.6	0.66	1.72
Grassland	6.4	0.76	1.97
Scrub/Shrub	25.9	3.08	7.97
Evergreen Forest	48.9	5.81	15.06
Palustrine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Scrub/Shrub	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Emergent	0.0	0.00	0.00
Estuarine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Bare Land	0.8	0.09	0.24
Unconsolidated Shoreline	0.2	0.02	0.06
Water	0.2	0.02	0.06
Unclassified	0.0	0.00	0.00

STREAM FEATURES

'Īao is a perennial stream. Total stream length is 26.2 mi (42.1 km). The terminal stream order is 3.

Reach Type Percentages: The percentage of the stream's channel length in each of the reach type categories.

<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	Middle	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters		
0.0	2.5	18.6	72.0	7.0		
The follo	wing stre	eam(s) oc	cur in the	e watershed:		
Ae	C	'Īao		Kinihāpai	Māniania	Nākalaloa
Nākalalo	ba	Poʻonāh	oahoa	Pu'ulio		

BIOTIC SAMPLING EFFORT

Biotic sa	imples were	gathered in t	he following	g year(s):		
1961	1962	1976	1990	1991	1992	1993
1994	1995	1996	2000	2001	2005	2007

Survey type	<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	Middle	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
Damselfly Surveys	0	0	1	7	0
DAR General Surveys	0	0	0	9	0
DAR Observation	0	0	1	1	0
DAR Point Quadrat	0	24	156	98	0
HDFG	0	1	1	2	0
Published Report	0	2	2	7	0
Reservoir	0	0	2	1	0

Distribution of Biotic Sampling: The number of survey locations that were sampled in the various reach types.

BIOTA INFORMATION

<u>Species List</u>

Native Species

Native Species

Crustaceans	Atyoida bisulcata	Insects	Diptera sp.		
Fish	Awaous guamensis		Megalagrion blackburni		
	Eleotris sandwicensis		Megalagrion hawaiiense		
	Gobiid sp.		Megalagrion nigrohamatum		
	Kuhlia sandvicensis		nigrohamatum		
	Kuhlia sp.		Megalagrion sp.		
	Lentipes concolor		Procanace sp.		
	Mugil cephalus		Telmatogeton sp.		
	Sicyopterus stimpsoni		Telmatogeton torrenticola		
	Stenogobius hawaiiensis				
Worms	Oligochaete sp.				
Introduced Sp	ecies	Introduced Species			
Amphibians	Bufo marinus	Insects	Cheumatopsyche analis		
	Rana catesbiana		Cheumatopsyche pettiti		
Crustaceans	lsopod sp.		Chironomid larvae		
	Macrobrachium lar		Hydroptila arctia		
Fish	Gambusia affinis		Hydroptila potosina		
	Poecilia reticulata		l richoptera larvae		
	l ilapia sp.				
	Xiphophorus helleri				
Snails	Gastropod sp.				
	Lymnaeid sp.				
	Lymnea sp.				
	Physid sp.				

Species Size Data: Species size (inches) observed in DAR Point Quadrat Surveys.

Scientific Name	<u>Status</u>	<u>Minimum Size</u>	<u>Maximum Size</u>	Average Size
Bufo marinus	Introduced	0.375	6	1.8
Rana catesbiana	Introduced	0.25	0.75	0.3
Atyoida bisulcata	Endemic	0.25	2	1.4
Macrobrachium lar	Introduced	3	4.5	3.8
Lentipes concolor	Endemic	2	4	2.8
Sicyopterus stimpsoni	Endemic	1	3.5	2.1
Awaous guamensis	Indigenous	0.75	8	3.7
Kuhlia sp.	Indigenous	1.5	1.5	1.5
Gambusia affinis	Introduced	1.25	2	1.5
Poecilia reticulata	Introduced	0.25	2	0.9
Poeciliid sp.	Introduced	0.25	1.5	0.3
Xiphophorus helleri	Introduced	1.25	3	2.3
Physid sp.	Introduced	0.125	0.25	0.1

Average Density: The densities (#/square yard) for species observed in DAR Point Quadrat Surveys averaged over all sample dates in each reach type.

Scientific Name	<u>Status</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	Low	Mid	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
Atyoida bisulcata	Endemic				7.38	
Lentipes concolor	Endemic			0.02	0.07	
Sicyopterus stimpsoni	Endemic			0.18		
Awaous guamensis	Indigenous			0.26		
Kuhlia sp.	Indigenous		0.21			
Bufo marinus	Introduced			0.03		
Gambusia affinis	Introduced			0.49	0.07	
Macrobrachium lar	Introduced			0.02		
Physid sp.	Introduced			0.63	0.63	
Poecilia reticulata	Introduced			1.66	5.25	
Poeciliid sp.	Introduced			7.75	0.1	
Rana catesbiana	Introduced			0.15		
Xiphophorus helleri	Introduced			0.54	0.03	

Species Distributions: Presence (P) of species in different stream reaches.

Scientific Name	<u>Status</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	Middle	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
Atyoida bisulcata	Endemic		Р	Р	Р	
Eleotris sandwicensis	Endemic				Р	
Lentipes concolor	Endemic		Р	Р	Р	
Sicyopterus stimpsoni	Endemic		Р	Р	Р	
Stenogobius hawaiiensis	Endemic				Р	
Megalagrion blackburni	Endemic			Р	Р	
Megalagrion hawaiiense	Endemic				Р	
Megalagrion nigrohamatum nigrohamatum	Endemic				Ρ	
Megalagrion sp.	Endemic		Р	Р	Р	

Atlas of Hawaiian Watersheds & Their Aquatic Resources

Telmatogeton torrenticola	Endemic			Р
Awaous guamensis	Indigenous	Р	Р	Р
Gobiid sp.	Indigenous	Р	Р	
Kuhlia sandvicensis	Indigenous			Р
Kuhlia sp.	Indigenous	Р		
Procanace sp.	Indigenous			Р
Telmatogeton sp.	Indigenous			Р
Bufo marinus	Introduced		Р	
Rana catesbiana	Introduced		Р	
Isopod sp.	Introduced			Р
Macrobrachium lar	Introduced		Р	
Gambusia affinis	Introduced		Р	Р
Poecilia reticulata	Introduced		Р	Р
Poeciliid sp.	Introduced		Р	Р
Tilapia sp.	Introduced			Р
Xiphophorus helleri	Introduced		Р	Р
Cheumatopsyche analis	Introduced		Р	Р
Cheumatopsyche pettiti	Introduced			Р
Chironomid larvae	Introduced	Р	Р	Р
Hydroptila arctia	Introduced			Р
Hydroptila potosina	Introduced			Р
Trichoptera larvae	Introduced			Р
Gastropod sp.	Introduced			Р
Lymnaeid sp.	Introduced	Р	Р	Р
Lymnea sp.	Introduced			Р
Physid sp.	Introduced			ΡΡ
Diptera sp.	Undetermined			Р
Oligochaete sp.	Undetermined			Р

HISTORIC RANKINGS

Historic Rankings: These are rankings of streams from historical studies. "Yes" means the stream was considered worthy of protection by that method. Some methods include non-biotic data in their determination. See Atlas Key for details.

Multi-Attribute Prioritization of Streams - Potential Heritage Streams (1998): No Hawaii Stream Assessment Rank (1990): Substantial U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service High Quality Stream (1988): No The Nature Conservancy- Priority Aquatic Sites (1985): No National Park Service - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (1982): No

Current DAR Decision Rule Status: The following criteria are used by DAR to consider

the biotic importance of streams. "Yes" means that watershed has that quality.

Native Insect Diversity <u>> 19 spp.</u>	Native Macrofauna Diversity > 5 spp.	Absence of Priority 1 Introduced
No	Yes	No
Abundance of Any <u>Native Species</u>	Presence of Candidate Endangered Species	Endangered Newcomb's <u>Snail Habitat</u>
No	No	No

CURRENT WATERSHED AND STREAM RATINGS

The current watershed and stream ratings are based on the data contained in the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database. The ratings provide the score for the individual watershed or stream, the distribution of ratings for that island, and the distribution of ratings statewide. This allows a better understanding of the meaning of a particular ranking and how it compares to other streams. The ratings are standardized to range from 0 to 10 (0 is lowest and 10 is highest rating) for each variable and the totals are also standardized so that the rating is not the average of each component rating. These ratings are subject to change as more data are entered into the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database and can be automatically recalculated as the data improve. In addition to the ratings, we have also provided an estimate of the confidence level of the ratings. This is called rating strength. The higher the rating strength the more likely the data and rankings represent the actual condition of the watershed, stream, and aquatic biota.

WATERSHED RATING: 'lao, Maui

Land Cover Rating: Rating is based on a scoring sytem where in general forested lands score positively and developed lands score negatively.



<u>Shallow Waters Rating</u>: Rating is based on a combination of the extent of estuarine and shallow marine areas associated with the watershed and stream.



<u>Stewardship Rating</u>: Rating is based on a scoring system where higher levels of land and biodiversity protection within the watershed score positively.



WATERSHED RATING (Cont): 'Īao, Maui

<u>Size Rating</u>: Rating is based on the watershed area and total stream length. Larger watersheds and streams score more positively.



Wetness Rating: Rating is based on the average annual rainfall within the watershed. Higher rainfall totals score more positively.



<u>Reach Diversity Rating</u>: Rating is based on the types and amounts of different stream reaches available in the watershed. More area in different reach types score more positively.



Total Watershed Rating: Rating is based on combination of Land Cover Rating, Shallow Waters Rating, Stewardship Rating, Size Rating, Wetness Rating, and Reach Diversity Rating.



BIOLOGICAL RATING: 'Īao, Maui





Introduced Genera Rating: Rating is based on the number of introduced genera observed in the watershed.



<u>All Species' Score Rating:</u> Rating is based on the Hawaii Stream Assessment scoring system where native species score positively and introduced species score negatively.



<u>Total Biological Rating</u>: Rating is the combination of the <u>Native Species Rating</u>, <u>Introduced</u> <u>Genera Rating</u>, and the <u>All Species' Score Rating</u>.



OVERALL RATING: 'Īao, Maui

Overall Rating: Rating is a combination of the <u>Total Watershed Rating</u> and the <u>Total Biological</u> <u>Rating</u>.



RATING STRENGTH: 'Īao, Maui

<u>Rating Strength</u>: Represents an estimate of the overall study effort in the stream and is a combination of the number of studies, number of different reaches surveyed, and the number of different survey types.



REFERENCES

1961. Shima, S.I. Limnological Survey for Introduction of Exotic Species of Fish.

- 1978. Timbol, A.S. and Maciolek. Stream Channel Modification in Hawaii. Part A: Statewide Inventory of Streams; Habitat Factors and Associated Biota.
- 1990. Hau, S. Memo Notebook: 1990 FW Stream Surveys Vol. 2.
- 1990. Hau, S. Memo Notebook: 1990 FW Stream Surveys Vol. 4.
- 1991. Hau, S. Skippy Hau Databook No. 391 Volume 5.

- 1994. Way, C.M. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Hawaiian Stream Ecology, Preservations, and Management. US Army Corps of Engineers.
- 1995. Benbow, M.E., Burky, A.J. and C.M. Way. Life Cycle of a Torrenticolous Hawaiian Chironomid (Telmatogeton torrenticola): Stream Flow and Microhabitat Effects. Ann. Limnol. - Int. J. Lim. 39 (2). 103-114.
- 1996. Hau, S. Postlarval Migration of Three Native Gobies (Lentipes concolor, Awaous guamensis, and Sicyopterus stimpsoni) in 'Īao Stream on the Island of Maui (Abstract). Will Stream Restoration Benefit Freshwater, Estuarine, and Marine Fisheries? 159.
- 1996. Hau, S. Skippy Hau Databook.
- 1996. Tagawa, A.W. and G.R. Higashi. Management of a Database on the Occurrence, Abundance and Distribution of Native Freshwater Species. Job Progress Report.
- 2000. McIntosh, M.D. The Effects of Stream Diversion on Riffle Macroinvertebrate Communities from a Maui, Hawaii, Stream. Masters Thesis.
- 2002. McIntosh, M.D., Benbow, M.E., and A.J. Burky. Effects of Water Removal on Introduced Caddis flies from a Tropical Mountain Stream. Ann. Limnol. - Int. J. Lin. 39 (4). 297-306.
- 2003. Flint, Jr. O.S. and R.A. Englund. A Reassessment and New State Records of Trichoptera Occurring in Hawai'i with Discussion on Origins and Potential Ecological Impacts. Bishop Museum Occasional Papers: No. 73. 31-40.
- 2004. Benbow, M.E., Burky, A.J., and C.M. Way. Morphological Characteristics and Species Separation of Hawaiian Postlarval Amphidromous Fishes. Micronesia, Vol. 37, No. 1. 127-143.
- 2006. Polhemus, D.A. Megalagrion Survey Notes in spreadsheet form.
- 2008. Hawai'i Division of Aquatic Resources. DAR Point Quadrat Survey Data from the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database.
- 2008. Hawai'i Division of Aquatic Resources. Impoundment Surveys in DAR Aquatic Surveys Database.

Blank Page