
DAR Watershed Code: 82009

Kaiwilahilahi, Hawai'i



WATERSHED FEATURES

Kaiwilahilahi watershed occurs on the island of Hawai'i. The Hawaiian meaning of the name is the "frail bone". The area of the watershed is 4.7 square mi (12.3 square km), with maximum elevation of 5384 ft (1641 m). The watershed's DAR cluster code is not yet determined. The percent of the watershed in the different land use districts is as follows: 22.2% agricultural, 73.6% conservation, 0% rural, and 4.2% urban.

Land Stewardship: Percentage of the land in the watershed managed or controlled by the corresponding agency or entity. Note that this is not necessarily ownership.

<u>Military</u>	Federal	<u>State</u>	<u>OHA</u>	<u>County</u>	Nature Conservancy	Other Private
0.0	0.0	74.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.4

Land Management Status: Percentage of the watershed in the categories of biodiversity protection and management created by the Hawaii GAP program.

Permanent Biodiversity	Managed for Multiple	Protected but	
Protection	Uses	<u>Unmanaged</u>	Unprotected
0.0	55.5	18.0	26.5

Land Use: Areas of the various categories of land use. These data are based on NOAA C-CAP remote sensing project.

	Percent	<u>Square mi</u>	<u>Square km</u>
High Intensity Developed	0.1	0.00	0.01
Low Intensity Developed	0.4	0.02	0.05
Cultivated	0.0	0.00	0.00
Grassland	16.2	0.77	1.99
Scrub/Shrub	6.1	0.29	0.74
Evergreen Forest	77.1	3.65	9.46
Palustrine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Scrub/Shrub	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Emergent	0.0	0.00	0.00
Estuarine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Bare Land	0.1	0.00	0.01
Unconsolidated Shoreline	0.0	0.00	0.00
Water	0.1	0.00	0.01
Unclassified	0.0	0.00	0.00

STREAM FEATURES

Kaiwilahilahi is a perennial stream. Total stream length is 6.9 mi (11.1 km). The terminal stream order is 1.

Reach Type Percentages: The percentage of the stream's channel length in each of the reach type categories.

<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	Middle	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
0.0	0.3	14.1	43.5	42.1

The following stream(s) occur in the watershed: Kaiwilahilahi

BIOTIC SAMPLING EFFORT

Biotic samples were gathered in the following year(s):					
1968	1979	1980	1990	1994	1995

Distribution of Biotic Sampling: The number of survey locations that were sampled in the
various reach types.

Survey type	<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
Damselfly Surveys	0	0	1	0	0
DAR Point Quadrat	0	2	10	24	0
HDFG	0	0	0	2	0

Species List

BIOTA INFORMATION

Species List						
Native Species			Native Species			
Crustaceans Fish	Eleotris sandwicensis Kuhlia sandvicensis Kuhlia xenura Lentipes concolor Sicyopterus stimpsoni		Insects	Megalagrion sp. Megalagrion xan Telmatogeton sp		
Snails	Neritina grano	sa				
Introduced Sp	ecies		Introduced Species			
Crustaceans	Macrobrachiur	n lar	Insects	Chironomid larvae		
Species Size Data: Species size (inches) observed in DAR Point Quadrat Surveys.						
Scientific Name	<u>e</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Minimum Size</u>	<u>Maximum Size</u>	Average Size	
Atyoida bisulcata Ender		Endemic	0.75	1.75	1.2	
Kuhlia xenura Endemic		Endemic	2	6	3.0	
Lentipes conco	lor	Endemic	3.5	3.5	3.5	
Sicyopterus sti	mpsoni	Endemic	1	3.5	1.8	

Average Density: The densities (#/square yard) for species observed in DAR Point Quadrat Surveys averaged over all sample dates in each reach type.

Scientific Name	<u>Status</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	Low	Mid	Upper Headwaters
Atyoida bisulcata	Endemic				95.9
Kuhlia xenura	Endemic		14.1		
Lentipes concolor	Endemic			0.33	
Sicyopterus stimpsoni	Endemic		40.7		

Species Distributions: Presence (P) of species in different stream reaches.

Scientific Name	<u>Status</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	<u>Middle</u>	Upper Headwaters
Atyoida bisulcata	Endemic				Р
Kuhlia xenura	Endemic		Р		
Lentipes concolor	Endemic			Р	
Sicyopterus stimpsoni	Endemic		Р		
Megalagrion sp.	Endemic				Р

Megalagrion xanthomelas	Endemic	Р	
Telmatogeton sp.	Indigenous		Ρ
Chironomid larvae	Introduced		Ρ

HISTORIC RANKINGS

Historic Rankings: These are rankings of streams from historical studies. "Yes" means the stream was considered worthy of protection by that method. Some methods include non-biotic data in their determination. See Atlas Key for details.

Multi-Attribute Prioritization of Streams - Potential Heritage Streams (1998): No

Hawaii Stream Assessment Rank (1990): Outstanding

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service High Quality Stream (1988): Yes

The Nature Conservancy- Priority Aquatic Sites (1985): No

National Park Service - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (1982): No

Current DAR Decision Rule Status: The following criteria are used by DAR to consider the biotic importance of streams. "Yes" means that watershed has that quality.


Native Insect Diversity	Native Macrofauna	Absence of Priority 1
<u>> 19 spp.</u>	<u>Diversity > 5 spp.</u>	Introduced
No	Yes	Yes
Abundance of Any	Presence of Candidate	Endangered Newcomb's
<u>Native Species</u>	<u>Endangered Species</u>	<u>Snail Habitat</u>
No	Yes	No

CURRENT WATERSHED AND STREAM RATINGS


The current watershed and stream ratings are based on the data contained in the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database. The ratings provide the score for the individual watershed or stream, the distribution of ratings for that island, and the distribution of ratings statewide. This allows a better understanding of the meaning of a particular ranking and how it compares to other streams. The ratings are standardized to range from 0 to 10 (0 is lowest and 10 is highest rating) for each variable and the totals are also standardized so that the rating is not the average of each component rating. These ratings are subject to change as more data are entered into the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database and can be automatically recalculated as the data improve. In addition to the ratings, we have also provided an estimate of the confidence level of the ratings. This is called rating strength. The higher the rating strength the more likely the data and rankings represent the actual condition of the watershed, stream, and aquatic biota.

WATERSHED RATING: Kaiwilahilahi, Hawai'i


Land Cover Rating: Rating is based on a scoring sytem where in general forested lands score positively and developed lands score negatively.



<u>Shallow Waters Rating</u>: Rating is based on a combination of the extent of estuarine and shallow marine areas associated with the watershed and stream.




<u>Stewardship Rating</u>: Rating is based on a scoring system where higher levels of land and biodiversity protection within the watershed score positively.




WATERSHED RATING (Cont): Kaiwilahilahi, Hawai'i


<u>Size Rating</u>: Rating is based on the watershed area and total stream length. Larger watersheds and streams score more positively.




Wetness Rating: Rating is based on the average annual rainfall within the watershed. Higher rainfall totals score more positively.



<u>Reach Diversity Rating</u>: Rating is based on the types and amounts of different stream reaches available in the watershed. More area in different reach types score more positively.




Total Watershed Rating: Rating is based on combination of <u>Land Cover Rating</u>, <u>Shallow</u> <u>Waters Rating</u>, <u>Stewardship Rating</u>, <u>Size Rating</u>, <u>Wetness Rating</u>, and <u>Reach Diversity Rating</u>.




BIOLOGICAL RATING: Kaiwilahilahi, Hawai'i


<u>Native Species Rating</u>: Rating is based on the number of native species observed in the watershed.




Introduced Genera Rating: Rating is based on the number of introduced genera observed in the watershed.



<u>All Species' Score Rating:</u> Rating is based on the Hawaii Stream Assessment scoring system where native species score positively and introduced species score negatively.




<u>Total Biological Rating</u>: Rating is the combination of the <u>Native Species Rating</u>, <u>Introduced</u> <u>Genera Rating</u>, and the <u>All Species' Score Rating</u>.




OVERALL RATING: Kaiwilahilahi, Hawai'i

Overall Rating: Rating is a combination of the <u>Total Watershed Rating</u> and the <u>Total Biological</u> <u>Rating</u>.



RATING STRENGTH: Kaiwilahilahi, Hawai'i

<u>Rating Strength</u>: Represents an estimate of the overall study effort in the stream and is a combination of the number of studies, number of different reaches surveyed, and the number of different survey types.



REFERENCES

1968. Shima, S.I. Limnological Survey for Introduction of Exotic Species of Fish.

- 1980. Parrish, J.D. Numerical equivalents of biological data in the Lentipes report.
- 1980. Timbol, A.S., Sutter, A.J. and J.D. Parrish. Distribution and Relative Abundance of the Endemic Freshwater Goby, Lentipes concolor in Hawaii. Hawaii Cooperative Fishery Research Unit.
- 1980. Timbol, A.S., Sutter, A.J. and J.D. Parrish. Distribution, Relative Abundance, and Stream Environment of Lentipes concolor (Gill, 1860), and Associated Fauna in Hawaiian Streams.

- 1996. Tagawa, A.W. and G.R. Higashi. Management of a Database on the Occurrence, Abundance and Distribution of Native Freshwater Species. Job Progress Report.
- 2006. Polhemus, D.A. Maps of Damselfly Locations.
- 2008. Hawai'i Division of Aquatic Resources. DAR Point Quadrat Survey Data from the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database.

Blank Page